

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36372 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-156 Year-2024 Thana- PARASBIGHA District- Jehanabad

Amitabh Ranjan @ Pappu Sharma S/o Late Surendra Sharma R/o Village-
Sendwa, P.S.- Parasbigha, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Arun Kumar S/o Tulsi Ram R/o Village- Bhusawar, P.S.- Bhusawar, District-
Bharatpur (Rajasthan)

... .. Opposite Parties

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mohammed Arif

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

3 18-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Parasbigha P.S. Case No. 156/2024 dated 18.07.2024 registered for the offence punishable u/s 406, 420, 467, 120B read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner has committed fraud of Rs. 42,50,000/- from the informant. Further, the informant had issued a cheque to the informant worth Rs. 15,01,000/- which was dishonored and the petitioner also impersonated himself as dead by producing false dead



certificate.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. Nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. It is a case of civil nature. The petitioner has no concern with the alleged occurrence. Learned counsel for the petitioner placed reliance on the judgment in the case of **Bimla Tiwari Vs. State of Bihar and others (Special Leave Petition (CRL.) Nos. 834-835 of 2023)** at para 10, the Hon'ble Apex Court has held that "we would reiterate that the process of criminal law cannot be utilized for arm-twisting and money recovery, particularly while opposing the prayer for bail." The petitioner has ten antecedents as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 06.08.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Jehanabad in connection with Parasbigha P.S. Case



No. 156/2024, with the condition ;-

(i). The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bond of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

(ii). If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in the future, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

