

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5785 of 2018

=====

Shankar Pandey Son of late Sahdeo Pandey, Resident of Village-P.O. Chamar
Bigha, P.S. Hilsa, Dist.-Nalanda.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Managing Director, South Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited
Vidyut Bhawan, Patna
2. The Superintendent Engineer Bihar Sharif Circle, South Bihar Power
Distribution Company Limited.
3. The Executive Engineer, Bihar Sharif, Division of South Bihar Power
District Company Limited at Nalanda
4. The Electrical Assistant Engineer, Electric Supply Sub-Division, Hilsa,
Nalanda.
5. The Junior Engineer, Electric Supply Sub-Division Hilsa, Nalanda.
6. The District Magistrate, Nalanda.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Satya Narayan Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Kinkar Kumar-SC 9
For the BSEB	:	M/s Nivedita Nirvikar, Sr. Advocate Manoj Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. G. ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 25-06-2025

1. Heard Learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has filed the writ
application for the following relief:

*“ (I) For issuance of a writ in the
nature of Mandamus for commanding
and directing the respondents to pay the
compensation to the Who petitioner as
his son namely Anjani Kumar has lost his
right hand below elbow due to Electric*



current.

ii) For issuance of any other relief/reliefs which may deems fit and proper to the facts and circumstances of the case.

3. At the very outset, Learned counsel for the respondents contended that since this matter is squarely covered under the order dated 19.03.2024 passed in **CWJC No. 8470 of 2022 (Nizamuddin Ansari Vs. The Bihar State Power Holding Company Limited & Ors.)** by this Court in which this Court has passed the order in light of a judgment passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Chairman Grid Corporation of Orrisa Ltd. & Ors. Vs. Sukamani Das & Anr** reported in **(1999)-7 SCC 298** this writ petition may also be disposed of on the same terms and conditions.

4. After perusal of the aforesaid judgment, the Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to make appropriate application for compensation before the appropriate forum.



5. Having regard to the submissions made by the Learned counsel for the petitioner, the present Writ petition stands disposed of in terms of the aforesaid judgment passed in **CWJC No. 8470 of 2022** and also with liberty to the petitioner to seek necessary compensation by approaching the concerned Civil Court and in case, any such petition is filed, the same is expected to be disposed of expeditiously by the Learned court below.

6. With the aforesaid direction the writ petition stands disposed of.

7. Interlocutory Application, if any, shall stands disposed of.

(G. Anupama Chakravarthy, J)

Spd/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	26.06.2025
Transmission Date	

