

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33909 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-982 Year-2024 Thana- DANAPUR District- Patna

Randheer Kumar @ Randhir Kumar S/o Late Krishan Kant Singh R/o  
Danara, P.S.- Bikram, District- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                          |   |                                     |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s     | : | Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Advocate     |
|                          | : | Mrs. Vaishnavi Singh, Advocate      |
|                          | : | Mr. Ritwik Thakur, Advocate         |
|                          | : | Mr. Purushottam Kumar, Advocate     |
| For the Opposite Party/s | : | Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate            |
| For the Informant        | : | Mr. Nawal Kishore Kashyap, Advocate |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH  
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

5      12-09-2025                      Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner,  
  
learned APP for the State, learned counsel for the informant and  
  
perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with  
  
Danapur P.S. Case No. 982 of 2024, instituted for the offences  
  
punishable under Sections 103, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya  
  
Sanhita, 2023 and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that son of the  
  
informant was murdered by two unknown miscreants while he  
  
was returning home after closing his mobile shop. It is further  
  
alleged that the occurrence took place as Rs. 6,00,000/- was due  
  
from the informant for which he always took time and hurled



abuse to his son.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. No incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged occurrence and sole eye witness of the case has not disclosed the name of the petitioner and on perusal of FIR it transpires that two unknown persons have killed the deceased. Learned counsel further submitted that as a matter of fact, the petitioner's name has transpired in this case during supplementary investigation and on the basis of threat letter given by the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 10.02.2025 and has got no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and jointly submitted that the petitioner's name has transpired in this case during supplementary investigation on the basis of a threat letter given by the petitioner. Learned counsel for the informant further submitted that, as a matter of fact, the petitioner had love affair with Supriya Kumari @ Simpi, who is the daughter of Vijay Singh



but due to the intervention of Kamal Kishore, the marriage of Simpi was solemnized with Utkarsh and due to the said reason, the petitioner along with co-accused hatched a conspiracy and committed the murder of the deceased.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as also nature and gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. The prayer is rejected. The Trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial.

8. However, if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today, the petitioner will have liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the Court below.

**(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

Alok Verma/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

