

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1950 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13237 of 2018

=====

Ajay Kumar Son of Yogendra Sah Resident of Village- Hussaini, P.S.-
Kesaria, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Mr. Pankaj Kumar the Managing Director, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation, Daroga Rai Path, R-Block, Road No. 2, Patna
3. Mr. Anand Sharma the Managing Director, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Birchand Patel Path, Patna
4. The Transport Committee headed by the District Magistrate Raman Kumar East Champaran, Motihari
5. Mr. Raman Kumar the District Magistrate, East Champaran, Motihari
6. Mr. Chaudhary Anand Narayan the Deputy Chief, Bihar State Road Transport Corporation, Birchand Patel Path, Patna
7. Mr. Manoj Kumar the District Manager, Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation, East Champaran, Motihari

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Lal Babu Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. S. Raza Ahmad (Aag 5)
For the BSRTC	:	Dr. Anand Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Rajan Prakash, Advocate
For the BSFC	:	Mr. Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate
		Ms. Shilpi Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA
ORAL ORDER

4 17-04-2025 This contempt application has been filed alleging violation of the order dated 27.08.2018 passed in CWJC No. 13230 of 2018 and CWJC No. 13237 of 2018 wherein, in paragraph No. 8 this Court had passed the following order:

“...8. Hence, ordered that case of the petitioners would be sent to the District Transport Samiti, Motihari, for necessary

decision in matter. The notice inviting tender dated 17.07.2018 would proceed but two vacancies would be kept reserved for the petitioners until final decision is taken by the District Transport Samiti of Bihar State Food & Civil Supplies Corporation, Motihari. It is expected that the District Transport Committee will take a decision within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt/production of the copy of the order...”

2. Opposite party No. 7 has filed a show-cause wherein, in paragraph-5 it has been stated as under:

“...5. That in the light of the order passed by Hon’ble High Court the Chairman cum District Magistrate has taken a decision on 21/2/19 by which the claim of the petitioner has been rejected as per clause 9(ix) of Tender. It is also relevant to state here that on 17/7/18 Four (4) post of Transport Handling cum Supply Agent Door Step Delivery (DSD) was advertised and till today no one DSD Transporter against above advertisement has been appointed by the opposite party...”

3. A copy of the decision taken by the Chairman cum District Magistrate dated 21.02.2019 of the tender, by which claim of the petitioner has been rejected as per clause 9(ix) has

also been enclosed as Annexure-A to the show-cause.

4. On perusal of the show-cause filed by the opposite party No. 7 and the Annexure-A of the show-cause, I find that the order dated 27.08.2018 passed in CWJC No. 13230 of 2018 and CWJC No. 13237 of 2018 has been complied with by the respondents.

5. Accordingly, this contempt application stands closed and disposed off.

(Nani Tagia, J)

Nishant/-

U			
---	--	--	--