

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3083 of 2018

=====

Mukesh Kumar Sinha Son of late Birendra Kumar Sinha, Resident of Village-
Ashok Vihar Colony near Second Transformer, Bypass, P.S. Vishnupad, P.O.-
Chand Choura, District-Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Bihar State Power Holding Company Limited Through Its Chief Managing
Director, Vidyut Bhawan, Baily Road, Patna
2. Managing Director, South Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited,
Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna
3. Electrical Superintending Engineer, Supply Circle, Gaya.
4. Electrical Executive Engineer, Electric Supply Division, Gaya.
5. Managing Director, India Power Corporation Limited, Plot No. 283, Block-
E, Lake City, Kolkata-70009
6. Electrical Inspector, Unit-1, India Power Corporation Limited, Bodh Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ram Hriday Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	M/s Anand Kumar Ojha, Sr. Advocate
		Ashok Kumar Karna
		Shankar Kumar, Advocates

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. G. ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 25-06-2025

1. Heard the Learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has filed the instant application for the following reliefs:

*“.....for payment of Rs.
500000/- (Rs.Five Lac) or any appropriate
amount as compensation to the
petitioner for medical expenditure due to
burn injury caused by sudden fall of*



electric wire of 11 K.V. due to non-maintenance of electric wire properly and for mental and physical harassment of petitioner caused by burn injury and for grant of all consequential benefits.”

3. At the very outset, Learned counsel for the respondents contended that since this matter is squarely covered under the order dated 19.03.2024 passed in **CWJC No. 8470 of 2022 (Nizamuddin Ansari Vs. The Bihar State Power Holding Company Limited & Ors.)** by this Court in which this Court has passed the order in light of a judgment passed by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Chairman Grid Corporation of Orrisa Ltd. & Ors. Vs. Sukamani Das & Anr** reported in **(1999)-7 SCC 298** this writ petition may also be disposed of on the same terms and conditions.

4. After perusal of the aforesaid judgment, the Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to make appropriate application for compensation before the appropriate forum.

5. Having regard to the submissions



made by the Learned counsel for the petitioner, the present Writ petition stands disposed of in terms of the aforesaid judgment passed in **CWJC No. 8470 of 2022** and also with liberty to the petitioner to seek necessary compensation by approaching the concerned Civil Court and in case, any such petition is filed, the same is expected to be disposed of expeditiously by the Learned court below.

6. With the aforesaid direction the writ petition stands disposed of.

7. Interlocutory Application, if any, shall stands disposed of.

(G. Anupama Chakravarthy, J)

Spd/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	26.06.2025
Transmission Date	

