

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33523 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-59 Year-2025 Thana- Excise P.S. District- Sheohar

=====

Dinesh Sah S/o Late Jag Narayan Sah R/o Dostiya West PS Purnahiya Dist
Sheohar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Hans Lal Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Ballabh Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-05-2025 Heard Mr. Hans Lal Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Raj Ballabh, learned Additional Public

Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Sheohar (Excise) P.S. Case No. 59 of 2025,
registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of
Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. Recovery is of 6 liters of illegal foreign liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case on
the basis of the disclosure made by the co-accused person,
namely, Dhamanti Devi, who happens to be the wife of the
petitioner. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in
the F.I.R is false and fabricated. He further submits that it

appears from the F.I.R and seizure list that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made from the house in question and the petitioner is not exclusive owner of the house in question rather the house in question is a joint house family property.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable and submits that the petitioner carries two cases of the similar nature other than the present one but fairly submits that the petitioner is on bail in the pending matters.

6. This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and the

name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of the disclosure made by the apprehended co-accused person, who happens to be the wife of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Excise, Sheohar, in connection with Sheohar (Excise) P.S. Case No. 59 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall

verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Jyoti Kumari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--