

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33006 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-291 Year-2024 Thana- DHANARUA District- Patna

=====

Ankit Kumar S/o Uday Prasad R/o Village- Lalsachak, P.S.- Dhanarfua,
Distg.- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajeev Ranjan No. II, Adv.

For the State : Ms. Veena Kumari Jaiswal, APP.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 26-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable u/s 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The prosecution story in the FIR discloses that while
the informant was coming back with parcels, he was intercepted
by three unknown persons who chased him on a motorcycle and
snatched 64 parcels and Rs. 14,117/- and fled away.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
First Information Report has been lodged against unknown and
the name of the petitioner has transpired during the course of
investigation on the basis of confessional statement of co-
accused Alok Kumar. It is further submitted no recovery has



been made from the conscious and physical possession of the petitioner which has also been stated in para 9 of this petition. It is next submitted that the Test Identification Parade has also not been held to establish the complicity of the petitioner and besides the confessional statement of the co-accused, there is no other material to connect the petitioner to the present offence. Moreover, charge sheet has been submitted against him and he is in custody since 19.03.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State, however, opposes the prayer for regular bail on the ground that the petitioner has earlier been made an accused in two other cases. In response to the same, it is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that after the arrest of the petitioner in the present case, he has been remanded in two other cases in which the FIR had been lodged against unknown and he is on bail in one of the cases and the other is pending consideration.

6. Considering the above-mentioned facts and circumstances, the above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Dhanarua P.S. Case



No. 291 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors will be family members or own close relatives of the petitioner who will give an affidavit of genealogy as to how he is related to this petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner shall remain physically present in the court on each and every date during trial till the charges are framed and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reasons, his bail bonds shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned court concerned.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

