

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.4628 of 2018

Janeshwar Sinha S/o Late Rameshwar Sinha, Resident of Village- Tal, P.O. Sikri, P.S.- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Joint Secretary, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Visheshwaraiya Bhawan, Bail
3. The Engineer-in- Chief, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Visheshwaraiya Bhawan, B
4. The Chief Engineer, South Bihar, Road Construction Department, Government of Bihar, Visheshwaraiya null null
5. The Superintending Engineer, Road Construction Department, Magadh Road Circle, Gaya District.
6. The Executive Engineer, Road Construction Department, Road Divisional No. 1, Jehanabad.
7. The District Magistrate, Jehanabad.
8. The District Certificate Officer, Jehanabad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Pranav Kumar Jha, Adv. Mr. Subodh Kumar Jha, Adv. Mr. Chandan Mohan Jha, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Amit Prakash- GA-13 Mr. Jitendra Kr. AC to EX-AAG-11 Mr. RBPD Yadav, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. G. ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date : 24-06-2025

1. The Writ petition is filed for the following reliefs:-

That this writ application is being filed for issuance of an appropriate Writ/Writs and order/orders in the nature of Certiorari for quashing



the notice dated 20.11.2017 passed in Certificate Case No. 1/17-18 by the District Certificate Officer, Jehanabad which has bill issued u/s 7 of the Bihar & Orissa PDR Act, 1914 to the petitionr for recovery of Rs. 36,84,476/- and further to quash the whole certificate proceeding started in the Certificate Case No. 1/17-18 by the District Certificate Officer, Jehanabad and further for any other relief/ reliefs for which the petitioner is entitled in the facts and circumstances of this case.

2. The Learned counsel for the petitioner brought to the notice of this Court that the petitioner initially filed a Writ petition No. 15081 of 2016 which was disposed of by this Court on 02.12.2021 and this Court directed the authorities to make payment of entire retiral dues including entire salary for the period of suspension minus already paid amount. The suspension period was in between 08.03.1999 to 30.11.2000. If any recovery has been made from the



petitioner in pursuance of the impugned order that would also be refunded to the petitioner.

3. Further, the Learned counsel for the petitioner reported the Court that the petitioner is no more. If the petitioner is no more then the Writ petition could not be prosecuted. If at all, the legal heirs of the petitioner have any grievances against the authorities for receiving the balance amount of the payment, they can make representation before the concerned authority.

4. With the aforesaid observations, the Writ petition stands disposed of.

(G. Anupama Chakravarthy, J)

Manish/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	26.06.2025
Transmission Date	26.06.2025

