

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42247 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-14 Year-2024 Thana- Mau District- Gaya

=====

Ravi Kumar @ Sonu Kumar S/o Kapil Yadav R/o Village- Chhakkan Bigha,
P.S.- Mau, District- Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar Chakravarti, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Aslam Ansari, APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 18-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Mau
P.S. Case No. 14 of 2024 instituted for the offences under
Sections 323, 379, 376D of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier vide order dated 21.11.2024 passed in Cr.
Misc. No. 72076 of 2024 the prayer for grant of bail to the
petitioner was rejected. This is the second attempt of the
petitioner for grant of bail.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that
earlier, vide order dated 21.11.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No.
72076 of 2024 the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner was
rejected. He further submitted that this is the second prayer of



the petitioner for grant of bail. Learned counsel mainly submitted that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 11.05.2024 and there is no significant progress in the trial and there is no likelihood of conclusion of trial in near future and therefore, petitioner may be released on bail. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. As per the report dated 15.07.2025 sent by the learned court below, cognizance has been taken against two accused persons including the petitioner and the investigation against other accused persons is pending.

8. Having considered the submissions made on behalf of the parties, this Court finds no fresh ground to reconsider the matter which has already been decided on merit by this Court, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

10. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

11. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to



renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

