

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33159 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-345 Year-2024 Thana- MUFFASIL District- Aurangabad

Umesh Saw @ Umesh Sao S/O Late Hari Saw Resident of village- Nagahara,
PS- Muffasil, Dist- Aurangabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Adarsh Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nitya Nand Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 22-05-2025 Heard Mr. Adarsh Singh, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Nitya Nand Tiwary, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Aurangabad (Muffasil) P.S. Case No. 345 of 2024 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 80(2), 3(5) of the BNS, 2023 corresponding Section 304B/34 of the IPC.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with other co-accused persons said to have killed the daughter of the informant, due to non-fulfillment of dowry demand.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that the learned Co-ordinate Bench has earlier rejected his bail application *vide* order dated 19.03.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 1046 of 2025 with a condition that the



petitioner shall be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge. Learned counsel for the petitioner has brought to the notice to this Court in *Annexure-3*, which goes to show that on 07.03.2025, charges have been framed. He also submits that the petitioner is father-in-law of the deceased. A statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent. Petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 16.11.2024.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Aurangabad in connection with Aurangabad (Muffasil) P.S. Case No. 345 of 2024.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

