

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33619 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-78 Year-2025 Thana- BAKHARI District- Begusarai

Raja sahani @ Raja Kumar Sahni S/O Shambhu sahani R/O Village- Godiyari,
PS- bakhri, district- begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ranjit Kumar Thakur, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-05-2025 Heard Mr. Ranjit Kumar Thakur, learned counsel for
the petitioner and Mr. Anil Kumar, learned Additional Public
Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest connection
with Bakhri P.S. Case No. 78 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 12.03.2025
registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of
Bihar Prohibition & Excise Act, 2022.

3. The case relates to recovery of 30 litres of illegal
country made liquor.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the
present case on the basis of secret information. He further
submits that it appears from the F.I.R. as well as seizure list that
nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of



the petitioner rather recovery has been made near Sugga Railway Dhala and petitioner has no concern at all with the alleged recovery of illicit liquor. He further submits that he has been made accused on the basis of secret information and except the aforesaid, no other cogent material has come during investigation to suggest the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence. Therefore, the recovery cannot be attributed to the petitioner. There is non-compliance with mandatory procedure prescribed for recovery under Section 100 of Cr. P.C./ Section 103 of BNSS, 2023 No case, whatsoever, would be made out against the petitioner under the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable. He further submits that the petitioner carries two more cases of similar nature but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail petition that the petitioner is on bail in the pending matters.

6. This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar



reported in 2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts that nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner and the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of secret information, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order. be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Judge-II, Begusarai in connection with Bakhri PS. Case No. 78 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure/Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 and with other following conditions:-

1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient



reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

2. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

3. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

