

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35950 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-5 Year-2025 Thana- MANPUR District- Nalanda

Purushotam Kumar @ Purushotam Chauhan S/o Sharwan Chauhan Resident
of village- Prabhu Bigha, P.S.-Manpur, District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arjun Prasad

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Brajendra Nath Pandey

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2 25-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Manpur P.S. Case No. 05 of 2025, Excise Case No. 80/2025 dated 11.01.2025 registered for the offences punishable u/ss 30(a), 33, 34, 35(c), 35(d), 36, 41, 44 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, total 20 litres of spirit, one litre chemical, one piece of foreign liquor bottle and liquor making apparatus were recovered from the house of the co-accused, Dhaniya Devi and a motorcycle was also recovered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in



this case. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The other co-accused person has already been granted regular bail by this court *vide* order dated 19.04.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 21398/2025. No incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, hence no case is made out. Learned Counsel has relied on the judgment of Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar reported in 2019 (2) PLJR 1089**. The Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** has held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76 (2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner by submitting that the bar of Section 76(2) of the Act applies in this case.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case as well as the nature of allegation against the petitioner, let the above named petitioner, in the event of his arrest/surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be



enlarged on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees twenty thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned, Nalanda in connection with Manpur P.S. Case No. 05 of 2025, Excise Case No. 80/2025, subject to conditions as laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S, with further condition:-

(i). The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bonds of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

7. This application stands allowed.

(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)

atul/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

