

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9641 of 2025

Shivnandan Sah Son of Sita Ram Sah Resident of Near M.B.B.L. College,
Village- Damodarpur, Police Station- Kanti, District- Muzaffarpur.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Chief Election Commissioner of India.
3. The Election Commission, State of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Election Commissioner, Nalanda.

... .. Respondents

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Pramod Kumar, Advocate
For the E.C.I.	:	Mr. Siddhartha Prasad, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)

5 01-12-2025 Following is the relief sought for in the present writ
application:-

“1. That through the Public Interest Litigation the petitioner as in individual capacity as well as representing capacity seek indulgence of this Hon’ble Court for the following:-

(A) For issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing/commanding the respondents to take immediate step for declare unreserved, 173, Rajgir Assembly seat, District- Nalanda the said seat is reserved from Schedule Caste Category Reservation since 1952 as Representation Act 1957.

(B) For further directing the respondent to take step for enquire the matter make reservation free of 173 Rajgir Assembly Seat Reserve as



Schedule Caste since long and also be given chance to other Caste and Community to represent at the said seat.

(C) For also directing the concern respondents to take necessary step for free from (S.C.) reservation of Rajgir Assembly Seat (173) Bihar has been reserved for the Scheduled Caste since its establishment 1957.

(D) For that the respondents are take necessary action as per Article 332 of the Public Representation Act 1957.

(E) For issue any other appropriate writ/writs, order/orders, direction or directions for which the petitioner is found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of this case.”

2. Considering the nature of reliefs sought, and upon plain reading of the record, it is apparent that the present matter cannot be treated as a Public Interest Litigation.

3. We find no merit in the present writ application and the same is, accordingly, dismissed.

4. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Sudhir Singh, ACJ)

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Sachin/-

U			
---	--	--	--

