

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18525 of 2018

-
1. Paras Nath Singh S/o Shiv Janam Singh, resident of Village- Bairi, P.O.- Karmaini, P.S.- Sanjhauli, District- Rohtas.
 2. Md. Naseem Ahmad Khan, S/o Late Md. Ayub Khan, resident of Village- Karari, P.S.- Durgawati, District- Kaimur.
 3. Ajay Kumar Singh, S/o Lakhandeo Singh, resident of Village- Amarapura East, P.S.- Jakhim, District- Aurangabad.
 5. Dudheshwar Singh, S/o Mundrika Singh, resident of Village- Chiralia, P.S.- Jagdishpur, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India through the Secretary, Railway Department, Delhi.
2. Railway Board through its Chairman, Delhi.
3. The General Manager, East Central Railway, Hajipur.
4. The Senior Division Commercial Manager, East Central Railway.
5. The Divisional Railway Manager Commercial, East Central Railway, Mughalsarai.
6. The Chief Traffic Inspector DOS, Mughalsarai Division, East Central Railway, Mughalsarai.
7. Sri Sanjay Kumar Singh, resident of Village- Garuda, P.O.- Sanjhauli, P.S. Bikramganj, District- Rohtas.
8. Sanjay Paswan, resident of Village- Ankorhi, P.O.- Ankorhi, P.S.- Khera NTPC, District- Aurangabad Bihar.
9. Sri Ankit Kumar, resident of Village- Amarthia Tola, P.O.- Gachhai, P.S.- Karkatta, District- Rohtas.
10. Sri Vikash Kumar, resident of Village- Dandal, P.S. Rafiganj, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Sharda Nand Mishra, Advocate
	:	Mr. Ranjan Kumar Jha, Advocate
	:	Mr. Dhandev Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Anshay Bahadur Mathur, CGC

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. G. ANUPAMA CHAKRAVARTHY
ORAL ORDER

4 12-08-2025 **1.** The Writ petition is filed for quashing
the award dated 24.08.2018 passed by Dy. Chief



Engineer-cum-Arbitration Tribunal, Sole Arbitrator,
Mughalsarai.

2. Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act gives power to challenge the award before the District Judge.

3. At this juncture, the Learned counsel for the petitioner intends to withdraw the Writ petition with a liberty to challenge the same before the District Judge.

4. Permission is accorded.

5. Accordingly, the Writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn giving liberty to the petitioner to challenge the same before the District Judge.

6. However, the Writ petition is filed in the year 2018 itself, therefore, the District Court shall liberally construe the limitation aspect.

(G. Anupama Chakravarthy, J)

amitkr/-

U			
---	--	--	--

