

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8869 of 2025

=====

Pappu Singh @ Pappu Kumar Son of Sri Kashisth Singh, Resident of Village-Bedhani, Police Station - Deo, District - Aurangabad.

... .. Petitioners

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chief Electoral Officer, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director, Panchayati Raj Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
5. The District Magistrate-cum-Collector, District- Aurangabad.
6. The Nodal Officer-cum-Deputy Development Commissioner, PACS Election, District- Aurangabad.
7. The Sub-Divisional Officer, Aurangabad, District- Aurangabad.
8. The Block Development Officer, Deo, District- Aurangabad.
9. The Circle Officer, Deo, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Gopal Govind Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Addl. Advocate General 09
	:	Mr. Anil Kumar Verma, AC to AAG-9

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the Respondent-State.

2. With the consent of all the parties, this writ application is being disposed of at the present stage itself.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for seeking the reliefs as claimed for in the present writ application, the petitioner is prepared to file a detailed representation before the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Aurangabad (Respondent



No. 5) within two weeks from the date of this order and he further prays if such a representation is filed, the same may directed to be considered on merit after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner further prays that time frame may kindly be fixed within which respondent no. 5 should be directed to dispose of the representation filed by the petitioner.

4. To this prayer being made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned counsel for the Respondent-State does not have any objection.

5. Considering the limited nature of prayer being made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this writ application is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to file a a detailed representation before the District Magistrate-cum-Collector, Aurangabad within two weeks from the date of passing of this order. If such a representation is filed by the petitioner, the same shall be heard and disposed of on merit after giving an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, by passing a reasoned and speaking order. The entire exercise must be carried out within a period of four months from the date of filing of the representation by the petitioner.

6. With the aforesaid observation and direction, this



writ application is disposed of. All pending Interlocutory Applications, if any shall stand disposed of.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

