

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.389 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18395 of 2016

=====

Shanti Devi S/o Late Ram Swaroop Paswan R/o Kunj, Post Office- Ohari,
Police Station- RahGovinfpur, District- Nawadah

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Accountant General, Bihar, Patna
3. The Director General of Police, Government of Bihar, Patna
4. The Deputy Inspector General of Police, Jehanabad
5. The Superintendent of Police, Jehanabad

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Divya Verma, learned AC to AAG-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

4 17-04-2025 No one appears on behalf of the petitioner though

Ms. Divya Verma, learned AC to AAG-3 is present.

2. The present application has been filed:

*review of the order dated 2.8.2018
passed in C.W.J.C. no. 18395 of 2016 by
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ahsanuddin Amanullah
disposing the aforesaid writ though petition,
the counsel of petitioner was not appeared in
the said proceeding due to illness on that
day, though the grievance of petitioner was
not fulfilled by the state Respondents but
counter affidavit shows that the grievance of
the petitioner was fulfilled. By way of*



Counter affidavit the State authorities tried to mislead the court. Petitioner's husband was still traceless and petitioner has not got a single Pai except Rs. 4845/- from the department.

3. The husband of the petitioner namely, Ram Swaroop Paswan was serving in the police force in Jehanabad District as Hawaldar where pursuant to the departmental proceeding, an order came to be passed for his removal from service. Later, from the record, it seems that the husband went missing. The petitioner filed CWJC No. 15744 of 2009 claiming statutory benefits of her husband.

4. The Writ Court vide an order dated 02.08.2018 recorded that the counter affidavits have come showing redressal of the grievance, there is no appearance on behalf of the petitioner, presuming that grievance stands redressed, disposed of.

5. Aggrieved, the present petition.

6. The case of the petitioner is that despite the representation filed on 08.12.2009 before the respondent no. 5, the Superintendent of Police, Jehanabad, the entire due has not been cleared.

7. The review petition was filed in the year 2018 and we are in the year 2025. There is no appearance on behalf of the



petitioner this time too.

8. In that background and considering that the husband of the petitioner went missing, she was 60 years of age in the year 2018, if the payments for which she is legally entitled to has still not been cleared, the respondent no. 5, the S.P., Jehanabad is duty-bound to look into the matter and take necessary steps/pass order/directions so that the lady receives her entitlement.

9. The review petition stands disposed of.

10. Let a copy of the order be sent to the office of the respondent no. 5, the Superintendent of Police, Jehanabad.

11. Ms. Divya Verma, learned AC to AAG-3 submits that she shall also be informing the concerned office regarding the present order.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

