

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL REVISION No. 400 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-7853 Year-2023 Thana- PATNA COMPLAINT CASE District-  
Patna

=====

Kanupriya son of Late Siddharth Singh Mohalla- Chamchak Gyan Ganga  
Trade Centre, Flat NO-405, New Bypass Ps- Ram Krishna Nagar Dist- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Gyan Ganga Ltd. At 2nd floor, Gyan Ganga Nilayam Gyan Ganga Trade  
Center, New Bypass Ps- Ram Krishna Nagar Dist- Patna, through its  
Managing Director namely Shri Manish Singh
3. Manish Singh son of Late Bharat Singh Moh Chamanchak, Flat-506, At 2nd  
floor, Gyan Ganga Nilayam Gyan Ganga Trade Center, New Bypass Ps-  
Ram Krishna Nagar Dist- Patna,
4. Uday Singh son of Late Janardan Singh Moh- Chamanchak Flat-606, Gyan  
Ganga Trade Center, New Bypass Ps- Ram Krishna Nagar Dist- Patna,
5. Tarkeshwar Singh son of Late Janardan Singh Moh- Chamanchak , Gyan  
Ganga Trade Center, New Bypass Ps- Ram Krishna Nagar Dist- Patna,
6. Rajiv Ranjan son of Late Janardan Singh Moh- Chamanchak Flat-401, Gyan  
Ganga Trade Center, New Bypass Ps- Ram Krishna Nagar Dist- Patna,

... .. Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                         |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Abhishek Anand<br>Advocate          |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh. I<br>Advocate |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIBEK CHAUDHURI**  
**ORAL ORDER**



6 22-07-2025

1. It is submitted by the petitioner, appearing in person, and the learned Advocate for the Opposite Party that the dispute between the parties has been amicably settled and the petitioner/substituted complainant is not willing to proceed with Complaint Case No. 7853(C) of 2023, pending before the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Patna Sadar, Patna, on the basis of deed of settlement, dated 11<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2025. A copy of the deed of settlement is annexed herewith with a supplementary affidavit.

2. It is found from the deed of settlement that the dispute between the parties has been settled upon a decision to pay Rs. 4,50,00,000/- only, out of which 1,00,00,000/- has already been paid. The balance amount of Rs. 3,50,00,000/- would be paid in three installments, first being on or before three months from the date of execution of the deed, second installment on or before six months from the date of execution of the deed and the third installment on or before ten months from the date of execution of the deed.

3. This Court finds that the impugned order



relates to taking cognizance of offence against accused, Manish Singh, Uday Pratap Singh @ Uday Singh and Tarkeshwar Singh under Sections 323, 406 and 504 of the Indian Penal Code.

4. The offences are compoundable.

5. Therefore, the Complaint Case No. 7853(C) of 2023 is disposed of on compromise.

6. The instant revision is, accordingly, allowed, subject to the conditions that the petitioner is at liberty to mention the matter, if any installment is not paid after expiry of the period stipulated in the deed of settlement by the accused persons.

**(Bibek Chaudhuri, J)**

skm/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

