

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.751 of 2023
In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1444 of 2023

=====

Syed Arshad Quadari @ Mintu Quadari Son of Late Nehal Quadari, Resident of Village- Haspura, Police Station- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Land Revenue Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Collector, Aurangabad, District- Aurangabad.
3. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Daud Nagar, Aurangabad.
4. The Sub Divisional Officer, Daud Nagar, Aurangabad.
5. The Circle Officer, Circle Haspura, District- Aurangabad.
6. Md. Rizwanul Haque Son of Late Md. Abbas Ansari, Resident of Purani Thana Road, Hadpur, Police Station- Haspura, District- Aurangabad.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Hari Shankar Roy

For the Respondent/s : Mr.Md. Khurshid Alam (AAG 12)

Mr. Arun Kumar Bhagat, AC to AAG-12

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER
(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

3 22-07-2025 Heard Mr. Hari Shankar Roy, learned counsel for

the appellant and Md. Khurshid Alam, learned AAG-12 for the

respondent State.



2. Learned counsel for the applicant/appellant, under instruction, submits that the applicant has challenged the interim order passed by the Collector, Aurangabad before the learned Single Judge, however, the petition filed by the applicant/appellant was not entertained by the learned Single Judge. In the meantime, against the order passed by the learned Single Judge, the present appeal has been filed. It is also pointed out from the record that there is a delay of 33 days caused in preferring the present appeal for condonation of which the appellant has filed I.A. No. 01/2023.

3. At this stage, learned counsel submits that during the pendency of the present proceedings, the concerned authority has passed the final order and, therefore, the applicant has filed a fresh writ petition before the learned Single Judge. Learned counsel, therefore, submits that, in view of the development which has taken place after the filing of the present appeal, this appeal has become infructuous. Therefore, he seeks permission to withdraw this appeal.

4. Permission, as prayed for, is granted.

5. Appeal stands disposed of as withdrawn.

6. Accordingly, I.A. No. 01/2023 also stands disposed of.



7. It is clarified that we have not examined the merits of the case of the appellant.

(Vipul M. Pancholi, CJ)

(Partha Sarthy, J)

K.C.Jha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

