

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35705 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-78 Year-2025 Thana- GHORASAHAN District- East
Champaran

=====

Punit Mukhiya S/o- Shri Mukhiya Village-Nimoeya Bin Toli ,P.S-Ghorasahan
,District-East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Shankar Shrivastava, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyam Bihari Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-06-2025 Heard Mr. Vijay Shankar Shrivastava learned

counsel for the petitioner and Mr. Shyam Bihari Singh, learned

Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in
connection with Ghorasahan P.S. Case No. 78 of 2025, F.I.R
dated 12.03.2025 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 30 (a) and 41 (1) of Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act,
2022.

3. Recovery is of 55 liters of country made wine.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has falsely been
implicated in the present case, altogether 81.7 litres of country-
made liquor was recovered from different places and 55 litres of

country-made liquor was recovered near the door of the petitioner's house. He further submits that the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of the disclosure made by the local chowkidar. He further submits that it appears from the F.I.R that nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made near the house of the petitioner and the petitioner has been made accused on the basis of suspicion and disclosure made by the local chowkidar and except the aforesaid, no other cogent material has come during investigation, which suggests the involvement of the petitioner in the present occurrence.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor has vehemently opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner referring the provision contained in Section 76(2) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and submitted that the pre-arrest bail would not be maintainable.

6. This court is aware of the decision of the Full Bench in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019(2) P.L.J.R. 1089**. Having regard to the law laid down in the aforesaid judgment and the submission advanced on behalf of the parties, this Court, for the limited purpose of grant of anticipatory bail, is inclined to accept the submission of

counsel for the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts, nothing has been recovered from conscious possession of the petitioner rather the recovery has been made near the house of the petitioner, the name of the petitioner has been transpired on the basis of the disclosure made by the local chowkidar and the petitioner has clean antecedent, let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the order, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Excise Court-3, Civil Court, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Ghorasahan P.S. Case No. 78 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure / Section 482(2) of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and with other following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Jyoti Kumari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--