

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32363 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-310 Year-2025 Thana- ADAPUR District- East Champaran

Sabir Ali Son of Nasrul Miyan @ Nasrullah Miya Resident of Village-
Shyampur Bazar, P.S.- Adapur, Distt.- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pravin Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Bhushan, A.P.P

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

3 13-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. While going through the order before signing, it came to the notice of the Court that in the cause title of the order, “Adapur P.S. Case No.310 of 2025” has been typed, whereas, upon going through the records, the correct case is “Adapur P.S. Case No. 310 of 2024”. Upon query, it came to the notice that the regular practice of transcription of orders is that the cause title of every case is typed by the centralized computer department. The person who takes dictation transcribes the only dictated part of the order. As such, in the cause title, “Adapur P.S. Case No.310 of 2025” be read as “Adapur P.S. Case No. 310 of 2024”.

3. However, the Registrar General, Patna High Court is hereby directed to look into the matter and warn the concerned officer/staff of the section who is responsible for



inserting the entries in the cause title to be cautious in future, otherwise, the Court will take stern action as in a number of cases, this Court notices such type of mistakes which unnecessarily wasting the time of the Court.

4. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Adapur P.S. Case No. 310 of 2024 lodged on 06.10.2024, for the offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 96, 308(2), 308(3) & 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, pending in the Court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Raxaul at Motihari.

5. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against four named accused persons including the present petitioner. It has been alleged in the FIR that when the informant reached at his house, he did not found his minor daughter and then he found that his daughter fled away from the house after taking cash of Rs.10,000/- and gold ornaments worth Rs. 50,000/-. Thereafter, informant found his daughter at the house of petitioner. In the meantime, accused persons demanded Rs.2,00,000/- from the informant and when the informant tried to take his daughter with him, the petitioner's side made her run away with 4-5 unknown persons on a motorcycle. The informant has full confidence that the accused persons have formed a gang and forcing minor hindu girls to convert to Islam



by threatening them.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel submits that the allegation levelled against the petitioner is false and fabricated and petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case due to dirty village politics. Counsel further submits that the criminal antecedent of the petitioner is not clean as there are three cases pending against him.

7. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the allegation against the petitioner is serious in nature and in such type of allegation, anticipatory bail may not be granted to the petitioner.

8. In the present facts and circumstances, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Divyansh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

