

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.34332 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-159 Year-2024 Thana- BALIGAON District- Vaishali

Arun Paswan S/o Late Jagdish Paswan R/o Village- Patepur, P.S.- Patepur in
the District of Vaishali

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjeet Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Abhay Kumar Roy, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

2 03-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Baligaon P.S. Case No. 159 of 2024, lodged on 19.11.2024
under Sections 309(4), 310(2) and 317(3) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the F.I.R. has been
lodged against unknown accused persons. It is alleged in the
F.I.R. that an amount of ₹4,14,000/- was looted from the dickey
of the informant's motorcycle by three unknown miscreants.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He further
submits that at the time of registration of the present case, the
petitioner had a clean criminal antecedent; however,



subsequently, the police have implicated him in one criminal case, which is currently pending. The petitioner has been in custody since 04.02.2025, and no recovery has been made from his personal possession. It is also submitted that the petitioner was not subjected to a Test Identification Parade. Learned counsel further submits that one of the co-accused has already been granted bail by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 19.05.2025 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 32159 of 2025. He assures that the petitioner is ready and willing to comply with any condition that may be imposed by the Court.

5. Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that the case of the petitioner stands on a different footing from that of the co-accused who has been granted bail. It is submitted that the co-accused who has been granted bail was neither found in possession of any recovered articles nor subjected to a Test Identification Parade, whereas in the present case, a portion of the looted money has been recovered from the house of the petitioner.

6. In the present facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for regular bail of the



petitioner in connection with Baligaon P.S. Case No. 159 of 2024, pending before the learned CJM, Vaishali at Hajipur is hereby rejected.

8. However, the petitioner would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail three months after framing of the charge.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Ashwini/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

