

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33918 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-166 Year-2024 Thana- NOWKOTHI GARHPURA District-
Begusarai

=====

Sanjay Thakur S/o Jay Prakash Thakur R/o Village- Naokothi, P.S.- Naokothi,
Dist.- Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shubhesh Pandey
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anant Kumar 1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-06-2025 Heard Mr. Shubhesh Pandey, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Anant Kumar 1, learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
23.03.2025 in connection with Naokothi P.S. Case No. 166 of
2024, F.I.R. dated 14.09.2024 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 61(2), 317(4), 317(5), 336(3), 340(2)
of the B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, an E-Richshaw which
was registered in the name of one Sonu Kumar was recovered
from the possession of the petitioner and the same was used in
the illegal activities.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the petitioner has clean antecedent and he has



falsely been implicated in the present case. The allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. In fact, the petitioner has purchased one E-Rickshaw from one Sonu Kumar after paying the consideration amount but the Sonu Kumar has not given any document with respect to E-Rickshaw so he has not produced the same before the authority concerned. He further submits that the Police after investigation submitted charge-sheet against the petitioner and he is on custody since 23.03.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State, on the other hand, has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that one E- Rickshaw has been recovered from the house of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid fact, also clean antecedent of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M.F.C., Begusarai in connection with Naokothi P.S. Case No. 166 of 2024, with the following conditions:-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be



properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ranjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

