

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8902 of 2025

=====

Dr. Koushlendra Narayan @ Kaushlendra Narayan, Son of Shri Surith Sharma, Resident of Village and P.O.- Badheta, Police Station - Parasbigha, District- Jehanabad, (Bihar).

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Home Secretary, Home Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Director General of Police, Department of Home, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Divisional Commissioner, Patna.
6. The District Magistrate, Patna.
7. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna.
8. The Superintendent of Police (Traffic), Patna.
9. The Municipal Commissioner, Patna Municipal Corporation, Patna.
10. The Circle Officer, Patna Sadar, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	None
For the State	:	Mr. P.K. Shahi, Advocate General Mr. Vikas Kumar, AC to AG
For the PMC	:	Mr. Prasoon Sinha, Sr. Advocate Mr. Prabhat Kumar, Advocate Mr. Pushkar Anand, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE)



Date : 12-09-2025

None appears for the petitioner.

2. Be that as it may, in the present petition, petitioner has prayed for the following relief(s):-

- “i) For issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ/ direction/ order for commanding the respondent concerned to remove encroachment from public road and footpath under the area of Patna Municipal Corporation particularly the road and footpath surrounding the Patna High Court.*
- ii) For issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ/ direction/ order for commanding the respondent concerned to ensure the absolute compliance of various orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court, Patna in cases relating to removal of encroachment of public road & foothpath.*
- (iii) For issuance of any other appropriate writ, order or direction which your Lordships may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”*

3. Learned Advocate General for the respondents, on instruction, submitted that grievance of the petitioner has been



redressed.

4. Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of reserving liberty to the petitioner that if any subsisting grievance in that regard, the petitioner may approach the concerned authority by submitting representation/application, if any and the concerned authority shall pass speaking order on such material and communicate the same within a reasonable period of three months.

(P. B. Bajanthri, ACJ)

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

P.K.P./-

AFR/NAFR	
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	16.09.2025
Transmission Date	

