

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CIVIL REVIEW No.88 of 2018

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.5754 of 2016

-
-
1. The Branch Manager State Bank Of India Bazar Branch Chapra, District- Saran
 2. The Manager State Bank of India, Centralized Pension Porcession Centre 4th Floor, Administrative Building Judges Road, patna -1.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India Through the Secretary Ministry of Defence, New Delhi.
2. The Director General Central Industrial Security Force, New Delhi.
3. The Inspector General Regional Pay and Accounts Office (CISF- Ministry of Home Affairs,,) Southern Zone, Madarsa- 90
4. The RPAO, (CISF) Chennai 3rd floor D wing Rajah Bhawan, Basant Nagar, Chennai Pin- 600090.
5. The Central Pension Accounting Office, CPA Office, Bhikhaji Cama Palace New Delhi- 110068.
6. Bharat Singh, S/O Late Mahanth Singh, Resident of village Alakh Tola (Sitab Diyara -90) Gorila Tola, Revelganj - District- Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Kaushlendra Kumar Sinha, Advocate
For UOI	:	Mr. Awadhesh Kumar Pandey, Sr. C.G.C.
		Mr. Abhishekh Kumar Verma, Advocate
For Opposite Party No.6 :		Mr.Shashi Shekhar Tiwary, Advocate
		Ms. Priya Sinha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

5 01-05-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief/s:

*(i) for reviewing the order passed by (Hon'ble
Mr. Justice Ahsanuddin Amanullah as his
Lordship then was) on 21 March 2018 in*



CWJC No. 5754 of 2016 only to the extent whereby and where under the Hon'ble Judge has directed to lodge a complaint before the competent authority against Mr. Anil Kumar Anal, the then Chief Manager State Bank of India, Chapra Bazar Branch, Chapra as well as Mr. Sudhir Kumar, the Deputy Manager, State Bank of India, Chapra Bazar Branch, Chapra for having stated falsehood on oath in the present proceeding.

3. Learned counsel representing the opposite party no.6 submits that when the petitioner was granted relief inasmuch as the deduction was not struck off, how come the Bank has approached this Court. He has taken this Court to paragraph-21 to submit that the Court has made observation against the two individuals who are not before this Court, instead the Bank has rushed which must be dismissed with cost.

4. Para-21 of the writ Court's order shows that the Court wanted the Registrar General, Patna High Court, Patna to lodge a complaint against the Anil Kumar Anal, then Chief Manager, SBI, Chapra Branch as also Mr. Sudhir Kumar, the then Deputy Manager of the same branch, who are not before



this Court. The point raised by the opposite party no.6-petitioner has been taken by this Court.

5. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for the withdrawal of the petition.

6. Accordingly, the same is dismissed as withdrawn.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

