

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32597 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-60 Year-2025 Thana- CHAUTHAM District- Khagaria

Pawan Ram @ Pawan Das S/o Late Tanuki Ram @ Late Tanuki Das Resident
of Village- Thatha, P.S.- Mansi, District- Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rahul Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mrs. Suman Kumari Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for
the offences punishable under Sections 137 (2), 96 and 3 (5) of
the B.N.S.

3. The allegation in the first information report is
that the daughter of the informant, aged about 16 years, was
allured and enticed away by one Raja Kumar and it has been
alleged that the present petitioner, Pawan Ram along with others
also have complicity in the said offence.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the
petitioner that it would be clear from the first information report
itself that the thrust of the allegation of taking away the
daughter of the informant is on Raja Kumar and there is general
and omnibus allegation of aiding on the present petitioner. It is



further submitted that the victim was recovered and her statement was recorded under Section 180 and 183 of the B.N.S.S.. In her statement recorded under Section 180 B.N.S.S., she has not taken the name of the petitioner rather has stated that she has married with the co-accused, Raja Kumar. Further, from perusal of the statement of the victim girl made under Section 183 B.N.S.S., which has also been taken note of in the order of the learned Sessions Judge, rejecting the bail, it appears that the victim girl has stated that she had left the house on her own volition, in anger as her parents were talking about her marriage due to which a quarrel took place in the house. She has further stated that she was staying at Patna with her 2-3 female friends and she has not whispered the name of the petitioner. It is further submitted that there is delay of four days in lodging the F.I.R. as the alleged date of occurrence is 09.03.2025 but the F.I.R. was lodged on 13.03.2025. The petitioner has no criminal antecedent and has been languishing in custody since 24.03.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State opposed the bail petition.

6. Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the above named petitioner is



directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.
10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like
amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below
where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with
Chautham P.S. Case No.60 of 2025.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Trivedi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

