

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1874 of 2019

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3449 of 2012

=====

DR. K. R. MAURYA @ DR. KUBER RAM MAURYA S/o Late Parahu Ram Maurya R/O Flat No.202, M.S.Smriti Complex, In Front Of C.R.Colony, Ashinan Digha Road, P.O. -Ashinan Nagar, P.S. Rajiv Nagar, Patna-25, Chief Scientist-Cum-University Professor, Ex-Dri-Cum-DeanPgs, Rajendra Gricultural University, Pusa, Distt-Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar through Sri Sunil Kumar singh, Agriculture Production Commissioner, New Secretariat, Patna
2. The Rajendra Agriculture University Bihar, Pusa, Samastipur, through its Registrar Sri Ravinandan
3. Sri R.C. Srivastava the Vice Chancellor, Rajendra Agriculture University, Bihar, Pusa, Samastipur
4. Sri Ravinandan Registrar, Rajendra Agriculture University, Bihar, Pusa, Samastipur
5. Sri Radha Krishna Prasad Comptroller, Rajendra Agriculture University, Bihar, Pusa, Samastipur
6. Sri Ramesh Ram Assistant Comptroller (Fund), Rajendra Agriculture University, Bihar, Pusa, Samastipur
7. Sri Ashok Kumar Yadav Dy. Registrar Establishment (as post of Director Administration has been abolished), Rajendra Agriculture University, Bihar, Pusa, Samastipur
8. Sri Ashok Kumar Yadav Dy. Registrar Establishment (as post of Deputy Director Administration has been abolished), Rajendra Agriculture University, Bihar, Pusa, Samastipur

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Mahesh Nr. Parbat, Sr. Advocate Mr. Ved Prakash Srivastva, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Raghwanand (Ga11) Mr. Pratik Kumar, AC to GA- 11
For the University	:	Mr. Vijay Shankar Upadhyay, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA
ORAL ORDER

4 09-05-2025 This contempt petition has been filed alleging violation of order dated 26.11.2018 passed in CWJC No. 3449 of 2012, whereby, the respondent-University was directed to



examine and ascertain as to whether the petitioners were granted the benefit of Ph.D. degree in the matter of appointment or promotion. In the event no such benefit was extended to the petitioners at the time of appointment or promotion, the petitioners will be entitled to two advance increments as incentive as per the policy decision. Necessary decision after affording opportunity of hearing shall be taken by the respondent-University within a period of four months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

2. Opposite party No. 1 and Opposite party Nos. 2 - 8 have filed show cause.

3. In the show cause filed by Opposite party No. 1, it has been stated in paragraph No. 4 that the claim of the petitioner was examined and thereafter a reasoned order bearing No. 269 dated 02.04.2019 has been passed under the signature of Deputy Registrar (Estt.) of the University, under the order of Vice Chancellor, whereby, the claim of the petitioner has been rejected. A copy of the reasoned order has been enclosed as Annexure – A to the show cause filed by the Opposite party No. 1.

4. The Opposite party Nos. 2 - 8 in the show cause filed have reiterated the stand taken by the Opposite party No. 1.



5. On perusal of the reasoned order bearing No. 269 dated 02.04.2019, passed under the signature of Deputy Registrar (Estt.) of the University, under the order of Vice Chancellor, I find that the order dated 26.11.2018 passed in CWJC No. 3449 of 2012 has been duly complied with by the respondents.

6. In view of the above, this contempt application stands closed and disposed of.

(Nani Tagia, J)

Siddharth
Sagar/-

U			
---	--	--	--

