

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32840 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-42 Year-2025 Thana- SAKURABAD District- Jehanabad

Randhir Kumar S/o- Late Yogendra Sao Resident of Village- Ram Nagar PS-
Tekari District-Gaya

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Laliteshwar Prasad Sharma, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Satya Nand Shukla, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 26-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Shakurabad P.S. Case No. 42/2025 registered for the offences
under Sections 308(2) and 308(5) of B.N.S.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant has
alleged that on 14.02.2025 in the night, three unknown accused
persons threatened the labourers present at the brick kiln and
demanded extortion money. It was alleged that all the accused
persons were variously armed and they also snatched away
mobile phones of Ravi and Kundan.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is not named in the FIR and his name has surfaced on
the basis of the evidence found during the course of



investigation as certain amount was received on his mobile from the informant which were subsequently transferred to a third party. Learned counsel further submits that the said amount was taken away by Ramashish Yadav and he has no concern with the said amount whatsoever. Learned counsel further submits that no incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner and he has been implicated in this case merely because of the said money transaction. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has clean antecedent and is languishing in custody since 18.02.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner has received the extortion money in his account which shows his connivance in the alleged occurrence.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and taking into account that the petitioner has clean antecedent and also the fact that there is no material apart from the money transaction on his mobile phone to connect the petitioner with the present case, let the petitioner above-named be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge,



Jehanabad in connection with Shakurabad P.S. Case No.
42/2025 subject to the conditions :-

- a. One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be his close relative.
- b. The petitioner shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.
- c. In case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be cancelled by the court concerned.
- d. The Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the aforementioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

tusharika/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

