

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.36707 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-342 Year-2024 Thana- SONO District- Jamui

-
1. Aman Kumar @ Aman Ram @ Chottu Ram, aged about 22 years, Gender, Male, S/O Mohan Ram
 2. Mohan Ram, aged about 55 years, Gender, Male, S/O Baleshwar Ram
 3. Sarita Devi, aged about 52 years, Gender, Female, W/O Mohan Ram
 4. Anuj Kumar @ Anuj Ram, aged about 24 years, Gender, Male, S/O Mohan Ram
- All are R/O Village- Sarebad, P.S- Sono, Distt.- Jamui.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Satya Prakash Parasar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ram Sevak Choudhary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 18-06-2025 Heard Mr. Satya Prakash Parasar, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Ram Sevak

Choudhary, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection
with Sono P.S. Case No. 342/2024 registered for the offence(s)
punishable under Sections 112(2), 115(2), 109(1), 352, 351(2)
and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, all the
accused persons including the petitioners with a common
intention had assaulted the informant and his father and brother
on the vital parts of the body. Specific allegation against Sarita



Devi (petitioner no.3) is to have assaulted the father of the informant by means of bricks, whereas Anuj Ram (petitioner no.4) had assaulted the informant on his forehead with sword and Mohan Ram (petitioner no.2) had assaulted the brother of the informant with sword.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and they are neighbours of the informant and for trivial issue, they indulged in fierce fight in which the informant, his father and his brother had sustained injuries, which are opined by the doctor to be simple in nature. General and omnibus allegation has been levelled against Aman Kumar (petitioner no.1). Petitioners have clean antecedents.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Considering the nature of allegation made against the petitioners no.2 and 4, who have assaulted the informant and his brother by means of sword on the vital parts of the body, I am not inclined to grant pre-arrest bail to the petitioners no.2 and 4.

7. So far as petitioners no.1 and 3, above named, are concerned, considering the nature of allegation made



against them, petitioners no.1 and 3 are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Judicial Magistrate-1st Class, Jamui in connection with Sono P.S. Case No. 342/2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the **petitioners no.1 and 3** and if it is found that the they are involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

9. The bail application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

