

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33833 of 2025

In
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13773 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-220 Year-2024 Thana- HATHUA District- Gopalganj

Ranjan Singh @ Ranjan Kumar Singh S/o- Ajay Singh Resident of village-
Sohagpur PS- Hathua District- Gopalganj Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhav Raj, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-06-2025 Heard Mr. Madhav Raj, learned counsel for the

petitioner and Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, learned A.P.P. for the

State.

2. The present modification application has been filed
for modifying the order dated 28.02.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No.
13773 of 2025.

3. By the order dated 28.02.2025, the petitioner was
granted bail with the following conditions :-

*1. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be
properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court
and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and
on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason,
his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.*

*2. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the
witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move
for cancellation of bail.*



3. *And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.*

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that due to communication gap on the basis of the information furnished by the Pairvikar, it was stated in para-3 of the bail petition that the petitioner carries 10 criminal antecedents but in reality the petitioner carries 11 criminal antecedents and he has not mentioned about Bhore P.S. Case No. 48 of 2015 in his bail petition.

5. The Court also noticed Section 362 of Cr.P.C. which reads as follows :-

“362 – Court not to alter judgment.

Save as otherwise provided by this Code or by any other law for the time being in force, no Court, when it has signed its judgment or final order disposing of a case, shall alter or review the same except to correct a clerical or arithmetical error.”



6. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, instant
modification petition is dismissed.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Jyoti Kumari/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

