

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32053 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-72 Year-2017 Thana- TEKARI District- Gaya

Ranvijay Kumar S/o Late Shatrughan Sinha Resident of Village- LIG - 2,
Housing Board Colony, P.S.- Rampur, District- Gaya,

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Gajendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Arun Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 20-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. In the present case, the petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Tekari P.S. Case No. 72 of 2017 registered for the alleged offences under Sections 467, 468, 419 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per prosecution case, certain persons took money of Indira Awas Yojana for construction of house though they were already having pucca houses. The name of the petitioner transpired during investigation as the person who while working as Panchayat Secretary made illegal allotment and siphoned the government fund.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. The petitioner was initially not



named in this case but his name transpired during investigation. The petitioner had been working as Panchayat Secretary and when new Mukhiya was elected, he made a complaint against the petitioner. During course of investigation, it has also come that the persons named in the FIR are poor labourers and did not have any pucca house and they were eligible for getting benefit under Indira Awas Yojana. The petitioner was not having any knowledge about institution of the present case. Petitioner has not committed any forgery or allocated the money to ineligible person. Petitioner has got clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP opposes the submission made on behalf of the petitioner. Learned APP submits that with the help of petitioner other co-accused persons grabbed the money Indira Awas Yojna and did not make their houses.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances and submissions made on behalf of the parties and considering the remote nature of allegation and doubtful nature of case against the petitioner and also considering the clean antecedent of the petitioner coupled with possibility of false accusation, let the petitioner named above, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the court concerned within a period of eight weeks from today, be released on bail, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.



10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-VI, Gaya/ court concerned in connection with Tekari P.S. Case No. 72 of 2017, subject to the condition laid down under section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. and other following conditions:

- (i) One of the bailors will be a close relative of the petitioner.
- (ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the court below, if so required by the learned trial court.

(Arun Kumar Jha, J)

balmukund/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

