

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.33899 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-170 Year-2024 Thana- SOHSARAI District- Nalanda

Rohit Kumar Singh @ Rohit Kumar Son Of Ram Kumar Singh Village- Naya
Tola (Madhopur) Ps -Bakhtiyarpur Dist -Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Tej Narayan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

5 29-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Sohsarai P.S. Case No. 170 of 2024 instituted for the offence
under Sections 310(2), 317(3) & 317(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023.

3. Prosecution case in short is that six – seven
miscreants entered into the house of the informant by breaking
the door and with the help of pistol, looted about Rs. 15 lakhs
and ornaments valued at Rs. 30-32 lakhs.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner
that the petitioner is in custody since 25-07-2024. Petitioner



bears four criminal antecedents, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired on the basis of confessional statement of co-accused. Nothing has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. Charge sheet has already been submitted in this case. Other co-accused has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 13-05-2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 14712 of 2025.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. It is fervently contended that petitioner was identified in the T.I. Parade. Moreover, there is recovery of 3.10 Lakh cash, silver anklet and one golden chain from the possession of co-accused person, including the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, there being recovery from the petitioner's possession and petitioner being identified in the T.I. Parade, this Court at this stage is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Prayer for bail of the petitioner is *rejected*.

8. However, petitioner will be at liberty to renew his



prayer for bail if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

