

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31839 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-293 Year-2024 Thana- KOILWAR District- Bhojpur

Chandan Sah @ Chandan Kumar S/O Bijay Sah @ Bijay Kumar Sah
Resident of Village- Pachrukhiya, Rajapur, P.S- Koilwar, Distt.- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Manoj Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 09-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Koilwar P.S. Case No. 293 of 2024, instituted for the offences
punishable under Section 310(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in short, is that, the petitioner
along with others snatched the bag of the informant containing
Rs. 4,35,000/- (Four lakhs and thirty five thousand).

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the
present case. Charge-sheet has been submitted in this case. No
incriminating material has been recovered from the conscious



possession of the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that the petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has transpired in this case on the basis of confessional statement made by co-accused, namely, Anil Kumar and the same has got no evidentiary value. It is further submitted that neither any recovery has been made from the possession of the petitioner nor any T.I. parade has been conducted in this case. The petitioner is in custody since 14.02.2025 and has got one criminal antecedent in which he is on bail.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. It is fervently submitted that the petitioner in his confessional statement has confessed his guilt. Hence, the petitioner does not deserve the privilege of bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, nature of accusation and the gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. The prayer is rejected. The trial Court is directed to expedite the Trial. However, if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from the date of receipt/production, the



petitioner will have liberty to renew his prayer for bail in the
Court below.

Rajorshi/- **(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)**

U		T	
---	--	---	--

