

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31965 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-223 Year-2023 Thana- DANAPUR District- Patna

Sunil Kumar Singh @ Raj Kumar Singh Son of Late Satyanarayan Singh
Resident of Suresh Colony Holy Cross Road, PS- Sadar District -Hazaribagh
Jharkhand

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nagendra Kumar, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyameshwar Dayal, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 21-05-2025 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 223 of 2023 registered for the offences punishable u/s 420 and 406 of the I.P.C.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner had received an amount of Rs. 8,80,567/- in his Bank Account in the name of providing job as Driver and Sub-Inspector to the son and nephew of the informant. It is also alleged that the petitioner had projected himself to be a D.S.P. in the Vigilance Department, Muzaffarpur.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. It is further submitted that no such transaction, as



stated by the informant, has taken place and even from perusal of the bank statement, it is evident that very small amounts have been transferred on several occasions to the bank account of the petitioner, however, there is no evidence of payment of cash of Rs. 4,20,000/- as stated in the FIR. The learned counsel has submitted that the petitioner is ready to deposit Rs. 4,00,000/- in the Court below. It is lastly submitted that the petitioner has clean criminal antecedent and is in custody since 10.11.2023.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and has stated that the petitioner has cheated the informant of his hard-earned money on the pretext of giving a job.

6. Considering the aforesaid submissions of the parties and taking into account the fact that there is an evidence of transaction of Rs. 4,60,567/- in the Bank Account of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above-named, be enlarged on bail on depositing Rs. 2,00,000/- by way of Demand Draft before the learned court below which shall be withdrawn subject to the outcome of the present case prior to furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Patna in connection with Danapur P.S. Case No. 223 of 2023.



The petitioner is directed to deposit the rest amount of Rs. 2,00,000/- before the learned Court below by way of demand draft in the next four months from the date of release of the petitioner, subject to the further conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors will be close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) The petitioner will remain present on each and every date fixed by the Court below, if so required by the learned Trial Court.

(iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioner will be liable to be canceled by the Court concerned.

(iv) If the petitioner is found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of his bail bond.

(v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

Jyoti/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

