

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31724 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-139 Year-2024 Thana- SUPPI District- Sitamarhi

Vikas Paswan S/o Vigu Paswan Resident of Village- Narha, Ward No. 3, P.S.-
Suppi, Distt.- Sitamarhi

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 20-05-2025 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners and
learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection
with Suppi P.S. Case No. 139 of 2024 registered for the
offence under Sections 103(1) of the B.N.S. Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant
submitted a written report alleged therein that on
15.08.2024, during taking tea some hot words were
exchanged between the daughter and son of the informant.
It is alleged that the son of the informant namely Vikash
Paswan (petitioner) gave knife blow to his sister who died.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the said incident was an act of rage which occurred in the

spur of the moment. He further submits that the deponent of the affidavit of the present bail application is the informant himself, who happens to be the father of the petitioner and from perusal of the bail application it has been stated that there was no motive or intention to kill the deceased rather it was in the heat of the moment that the incident took place and due to the injuries inflicted by the petitioner his sister subsequently died. It has lastly been stated that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is in custody since 16.08.2024.

5. Learned counsel for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and has stated that the even if the act was done in the fit of rage, he has committed a crime of killing his own sister and hence does not deserve to be released on bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts of the case and taking into account the fact that the petitioner had assaulted his own sister and killed her by inflicting knife blow, I am not inclined to grant the privilege of regular bail to the petitioner.

7. The bail application is hereby rejected.

8. However, the petitioner may renew his prayer
for bail upon framing of charge.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

aditya/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--