

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.35929 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-107 Year-2020 Thana- CHAKIA District- East Champaran

Ranjan Kumar @ Dhiraj Kumar S/o Ramnath Sah R/o vill - Basghat
Bisunpur, P.S. - Chakiya, Distt. - East Champaran at Motihari

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Jagarnath Sah S/o Late Janki Sah R/o Jogauliya tola, Gulab Khan, P.S.-
Madhuban, District- East Champaran

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Ranjan, Adv.
For the State : Mr. Anil Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

10 09-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 304(B), 201 of the Indian Penal Code r/w Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The allegation in the FIR is that the daughter of the informant, who was married with one Upendra Sah in the year 2015, was done to death by her husband and other family members on account of non-fulfillment of dowry.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the nephew of Upendra Sah who is the husband of



the deceased. It is further submitted that on the date of occurrence, the petitioner was not even staying in India and he has brought on record his visa and passport by way of Annexure-2 to the present application and also other documents by way of a supplementary affidavit. It is also submitted that other co-accused persons have also been granted the privilege of anticipatory bail by co-ordinate Bench of this Court which is Annexure-P/2 series to the supplementary affidavit. It is further submitted that the husband of the deceased was put on trial and he has also been acquitted in the said trial.

5. Learned APP for the State, however, oppose the prayer for anticipatory bail

6. A report had been called vide an order dated 21.04.2025 with regard to the fact as to whether any coercive processes have been taken against the petitioner or not or whether he has been declared a proclaimed offender or not. The report in that regard has been received and a perusal of the same reveals that no coercive processes have been issued against the petitioner and he has not been declared a proclaimed offender.

7. Considering the entire facts and circumstances of the



case, I am inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner who has no criminal antecedent. Let the petitioner, above named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within four weeks, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned lower Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Chakiya P.S. Case No. 107 of 2020, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C/ 482 (2) of the BNSS, 2023.

8. However, it is directed to the petitioner that he would cooperate in the investigation and make himself available before the Investigating Officer of the concerned Police Station at an interval of every 15 days till the conclusion of investigation against him.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

divyanshi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

