

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.32307 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-72 Year-2024 Thana- BIHARIGANJ District- Madhepura

Md. Gaush Azam @ Md. Gaush S/O Md. Firoz Alam @ Md. Firoz Village-
Rahata Ward no. 1, P.S.- Udakishunganj, Dist.- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madhuri Lata, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

4 11-07-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Bihariganj P.S. Case No. 72 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 341, 366, 376, 379, 506/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier vide order dated 14.11.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 61670 of 2024 the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner was rejected.

4. Prosecution case, in short, is that, the petitioner abducted the informant's daughter on the pretext of marriage and established illicit relationship with her.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner mainly submitted



that the petitioner has been languishing in jail since 17.04.2024 and there is no significant progress in the trial. Learned counsel further submitted that charge has been framed against the petitioner and there is no likelihood of conclusion of trial in near future and therefore, petitioner may be released on bail. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner has no criminal antecedent.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. As per the report dated 04.07.2025 sent by the learned court below, charge has been framed against the accused persons and summon has already been issued in the name of P.W.S.

8. Having considered the submissions made on behalf of the parties, this Court finds no fresh ground to reconsider the matter which has already been decided on merit by this Court, and hence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

9. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

10. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.



11. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

