

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.7857 of 2024

=====

Sushila Kumari, Wife of - Uday Shankar, Resident of Village- Nadwan, P.S.- Dhanarua, District- Patna, at present Residing at Lotus Apartment, Block-C, Church Road Patliputra, Near Shemford School, P.S.- Patliputra, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Additional Chief Secretary, Education Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
4. The Regional Deputy Director of Education, Magadh Division, Gaya.
5. The District Provident Fund Officer, Jehanabad.
6. The District Education Officer, Jehanabad.
7. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Jehanabad.
8. The District Treasury Officer, Jehanabad.
9. The District Provident Fund Officer, Patna.
10. The District Treasury Officer, Patna.
11. The District Education Officer, Patna.
12. The District Programme Officer (Establishment) Patna, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ajay Behari, GA-8

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 19-03-2025 Heard the parties.

2. Notwithstanding the superannuation of the petitioner long back on 31.01.2019 from the post of Assistant Teacher, Vani Mandir Middle School, Rajbanshi Nagar, Patna, when she has not been accorded the payment under the head of General Provident Fund for the period 01.04.1983 to



31.12.2008, the petitioner has approached this Court by filing the present writ petition.

3. Learned Senior Advocate appearing on behalf of the State referring to the counter affidavit filed on behalf of respondent no. 9 has contended that the admissible due amount of the petitioner, on calculation, came to the tune of Rs. 12,23,958/- out of which total Rs. 11,21,229/- has been credited in the account of the petitioner after deducting the tax.

4. In view of the aforesaid facts, this Court finds that the grievance of the petitioner stands settled.

5. The writ petition stands closed.

(Harish Kumar, J)

supratim/-

U			
---	--	--	--

