

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37037 of 2024

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-902 Year-2019 Thana- BUXAR COMPLAINT CASE District-
Buxar

=====

Ranjan Paswan Son Of Radheshyam Paswan Resident Of Village - Pawara,
P.S. - Suryapura, District – Rohtas Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. Reema Devi Daughter Of Sri Bhagwan Ram Resident Of Village - Atimi,
P.S. - Nawanagar, District - Buxar Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raghwendra Pratap Singh
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pronoti Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

8 23-04-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned

APP for the State and also learned counsel appearing for the

opposite party no. 2 in presence of the parties to the

proceedings.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Complaint Case No.902(C) of 2019 registered for the
offence punishable under Section 498A of the Indian Penal
Code and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Petitioner is the husband of opposite party no.2. The
allegation is of demand of dowry and torture.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that his
client is ready to take the opposite party no. 2 along with him.
However, such proposal has been rejected by the opposite party
no. 2 on the ground that the petitioner has remarried. It has



further been brought to the notice of the Court that in the Domestic Violence Act case bearing Complaint Case No. 71 of 2018, an order directing the petitioner to pay Rs. 2000/- per month has been made vide order dated 11.06.2019. It is submitted that he has made the payment till 24.06.2023 whereafter, the opposite party no. 2 has refused to take the amount. The opposite party no. 2 however, refuses to accept the fact that she ever refused to take the money. The Court finds that the opposite party no. 2 also has a minor daughter.

5. In such view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner has himself made an offer, in the presence of the petitioner, to give Rs.1000/- over and above Rs. 2000/- fixed in the D.V. Act case which makes it a total of Rs. 3000/- per month to opposite party no.2 in the first week of every month. It goes without saying that the aforesaid payment shall be subject to any order passed in matrimonial maintenance case or any other collateral proceeding.

6. With regard to the arrears of the D.V. Act case, learned counsel for the petitioner has offered that he would deposit the said amount also in another period of two months.

7. In such view of the matter, let the above named petitioner, be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or



surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Buxar in connection with Complaint Case No. 902(C) of 2019, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 438 (2) of the Cr.P.C. and subject to the further condition that the petitioner shall cooperate in the investigation/trial.

8. Learned counsel for the opposite party no.2, under instruction, submits that the opposite party no.2 undertakes to provide her bank account details to the petitioner within a period of two weeks from today. If the opposite party no.2 furnishes the bank account in which the amount can be transferred, and yet the petitioner fails to give the aforesaid amount on two consecutive dates to opposite party no.2, the opposite party no.2 would be at liberty to file cancellation of bail.

9. Accordingly, this application stands disposed of.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

devendra/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

