

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1831 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-523 Year-2021 Thana- BARH District- Patna

=====

Rajesh Kumar @ Paplu Singh @ Paplu Kumar, S/o- Uday Narayan Sharma,
R/o – Village- Pandarak, P.S - Pandarak, District - Patna

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dharamraj Kumar, S/o- Sri Krishna Mohan Prasad, Village- Gopkita P.S.-
Pandarak, Dist- Patna

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr.Shashi Bhushan Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Binay Krishna, Spl. PP
For the informant	:	Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Raghubir Chandrayan, Advocate
		Mr. Satyam Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 01-12-2025 Heard learned counsel for the appellant, learned
Special Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel for
the informant/Respondent No. 2.

2. This appeal has been filed against the order dated
05.04.2025 passed by learned Exclusive Special Judge, SC/ST
Act, Patna in Special Case No. 16 of 2022, arising out of Barh



P.S. Case No. 523/2021, registered for the offences under Sections 307, 302, 120B, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, Section 27 of the Arms Act and Section 3(2)(v) of the SC/ST Act, whereby the prayer for bail of the appellant has been rejected.

3. As per prosecution case, appellant is alleged to have committed murder of brother of the informant Priyaranjan Kumar @ Gore Lal Yadav along with other co-accused persons by causing firearms injury, while he was returning from a marriage party, in the background of local political rivalries. Brother of the informant died during treatment. In the occurrence, Rajesh Kumar also sustained gun-shot injuries and died.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that appellant has falsely been implicated in this case due to political rivalry. There is general allegation against the appellant and other co-accused persons that they have shot fire at the informant's brother. Appellant is in custody since 12.12.2024.

5. Learned Special Public Prosecutor for the State as well as learned counsel for the informant/Respondent No. 2 vehemently opposes the appeal and submits that the appellant is named in the F.I.R. and there is specific allegation of firing against him.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances



and the accusation against the appellant that he is one of the assailants, the appeal stands dismissed. However, since the appellant is in custody since 12.12.2024, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

P.K.P./-

U			
---	--	--	--

