

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.15542 of 2015

=====

Sumit Ranjan Son of Satyanand Singh, Resident of Village- Jalalpur, P.S.-
Rupaspur, Danapur, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union Of India.
2. The D.R.M. East Central Railways Ministry of Railway, Hajipur.
3. The Chief Engineer, Construction, Ganga Bridge East Central Railways,
Digha Ghat, Patna.
4. The Dy. Chief Engineer, Construction, East Central Railways, Digha Ghat,
Patna.
5. The State of Bihar, the Secretary and Commissioner, Ravenue and Land
Reforms Department, Government
6. The District Magistrate cum Collector, Patna.
7. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Anupa Nand Jha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Viveka Nand Singh, AC to GP-18
For the UOI	:	Dr. Punam Kumari Singh, CGC
		Mr. Vaibhav Kumar Jha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 14-07-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“to issue a writ in the nature of
CERTIORARI / MANDAMUS or any other
appropriate writ or order or direction to respondent
authorities implement the policy of to Railways
Department issued vide letter bearing No.
ECR/CAO/W/Land Employment/143/277 dated
9/18.1.2007 [Ann-3] whereby and where under it has
been resolved that one person of the family shall be*



provided with employment / appointment / service who has been displaced and those land and houses has been acquired on the south side in connection with Ganga Rail Bridge Project Patna. Further prayer, is for direction to Railways Authorities respondent no. 1 to 4 to provide employment / appointment / service under Railways Department to the ward of Petitioners, who are displaced Awardees of Ganga Rail Bridge Project Patna. Further prayer for direction to Collector cum District Magistrate Patna, to forward the applications of petitioner for providing employment / appointment /service to respondents' no. 1 to 4.”

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to approach the respondent no. 2, the Divisional Railway Manager, East Central Railways, Hajipur for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Granting liberty and without commenting on the merit of the case, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

