

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31939 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-239 Year-2024 Thana- TRIVENIGANJ District- Supaul

Prince Kumar @ Prakash Kumar @ Prince Prakash Kumar @ Prince Kumar  
Prakash Kumar S/o Jagdish Prasad Yadav @ Jadish Prasad Yadav Resident of  
village - Daparkha, Triveniganj Nagar Parishad, Police Station - Triveniganj,  
Distt.- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arun .

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dr.Mrityunjaya Kr.Gautam

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA PRAKASH  
SINGH**

ORAL ORDER

2      22-07-2025                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and  
learned A.P.P for the State.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with S.T. No. 258 of 2024 arising out of Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 239 of 2024 dated 18.06.2024 registered for the offences punishable u/s 342, 370, 370A, 506 read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3, 4, 5 and 6 of the Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956.

3. As per the prosecution case, on 18.06.2024 the informant received information that in the premises of the petitioner Prince Kumar @ Prakash Kumar, a racket of



prostitution was going on. The informant reached the place of occurrence for verification of the said information. On being searched the said premises, three male and three female persons were found in separate room and on interrogation the petitioner Prince Kumar disclosed that he is running a brothel house in his premises and Anuplal Sardar, Birbal Kumar Sinha and Md. Nasim were regularly visiting here. It is further alleged that the co-accused Shanti Devi has brought girls and handed over to the petitioner Prince Kumar and they forced them for prostitution. Further a search was conducted, Rs. 11,460/- and one mobile phone were recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner Prince Kumar and from the conscious possession of Anuplal Sardar, Birbal Kumar Sinha and Md. Nasim one mobile phone from each of them was recovered.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case. There is no specific allegation against the petitioner rather the allegation. The recovered mobile phone belongs to the petitioner and no incriminating article has been recovered from the conscious possession of



the petitioner. The independent witnesses in their statements, have not stated anything against the petitioner. The other co-accused person has already been granted bail by this court *vide* order dated 26.10.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 61246/2024. The petitioner has clean antecedent as stated in para 3 of the bail petition. The petitioner is in custody since 19.06.2024.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the bail petition of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation levelled against the petitioner, as well as the period of custody, the petitioner above-named, is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail-bond of Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court concerned, Supaul in connection with S.T. No. 258 of 2024 arising out of Triveniganj P.S. Case No. 239 of 2024, with a condition/s:-

(i). The petitioner is directed to remain physically present before the learned Court below on each and every



date, failing which on two consecutive dates without reasonable cause, the bail bond of the petitioner is liable to be cancelled.

(ii) The petitioner is directed to cooperate in the trial before the learned court below.

7. The application stands allowed.

**(Chandra Prakash Singh, J)**

guddukr/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

