

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6617 of 2015

Ashutosh Kumar Singh Son of Late Suresh Singh. Resident of village -
Khudaraon, Post - Baraokala, Police Station - Darihat, District - Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram.
3. The Additional Collector, Rohtas at Sasaram.
4. The Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Dehri, District - Rohtas.
5. The Circle Officer, Dehri, District - Rohtas.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Surendra Kumar Choubey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Kumar Alok, SC-7

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 08-07-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for issuance of a writ in the nature of writ
of mandamus directing the respondent
authorities I.e. Circle Officer, Dehri to
continue and grant the rent receipt in the
name of petitioner and other co-sharers of
Mauza- Khadaraon, thana no.116 of khata
no. 123, plots no. 490, 271, 364, 365, 333,
687, 734, 119, 118, 116, 115, 114, 98, 514,
753, 422, 351, 684/798, 681/799, 686, 800,*



683/797, 513, 277 total area 3 Acre 24 decimals;

(ii) for issuance of a writ in the nature of writ of mandamus directing the respondent no.5, to pass appropriate order on the representation dated 17.3.15 made before him by the petitioner;

(iii) for issuance of a writ in the nature of writ of mandamus directing the respondent no.5 I.e. Circle Officer, Dehri to comply the order dated 21.6.2013 passed by the learned Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Dehri, Rohtas in Miscellaneous Case no.02/13-14 contained in annexure-5 and prepared Jamabanai on the basis of order passed in Title Suit no.298(D)/2013 in favour of the petitioner and others;

(iv) for issuance of any other writ(s) or order(s) or direction(s) which may be deemed fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.

3. It has been informed by learned counsel for the



petitioner that sole petitioner is no more and as such heirs be granted liberty to approach the concerned authority afresh if they so want.

4. Granting liberty to the heirs of the sole petitioner to approach the concerned authority, if they so want, the writ petition is disposed of.

5. The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the representation is pending before the Circle Officer, Dehri.

6. Needless to add that now the sole petitioner is no more, the heirs will have to prefer a fresh petition and the concerned authority is duty bound to take the same to its logical conclusion.

7. Pending Interlocutory Application(s), if any also stand(s) disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

