

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.6630 of 2015

=====

Upendra Jha S/o Late Niras Jha resident of Village- Ranipur, P.O. Vasudevpur,
P.S. Sadar District- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Deputy Collector Land Reforms, Sadar Darbhanga.
3. The Circle Officer, Sadar, Darbhanga.
4. Shushil Jha
5. Raj kumar Jha Both sons of Late Jaykant Jha resident of village- Ranipur,
P.S. Sadar, District- Darbhanga.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vinay Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sita Ram Yadav, GP-16
Mr. Rakesh Kumar Srivastava, A.C.to G.P.-16

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 08-07-2025 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for issuance of an appropriate the
writ/order/direction for quashing order
dated 15.7.2011 passed in Land Dispute
Case No. 6/2011-12 by the deputy Collector
Land Reforms, Sadar Darbhanga
(Respondent no.2) by which Anchal Amin
was directed to demarcate the land of parties*



though the relief claimed by Respondent no.4 and 5 was maintainable only in the Civil Court of original jurisdiction;

(ii) for quashing order dated 3.12.2014 passed in Land Dispute Execution case No. 628/2013-14 by which Anchal Amin has been directed to demarcate and deliver possession to Respondent no. 4 and 5 if the land is found in possession of the petitioner.

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall be challenging the order in question by approaching the appropriate authority but there has been some delay as he was pursuing the case before this Court.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection to the said stand of the petitioner.

5. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of with the observation that if the petitioner prefers an appropriate petition alongwith the relevant documents before the authority concerned, he/she shall keep in mind that the delay of ten years in filing the present writ petition is because the petitioner was pursuing his case before this Court while dealing with the limitation petition.



6. The writ petition stands disposed of with the
aforesaid observation.

7. Pending Interlocutory Application(s), if any also
stand(s) disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

