

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2339 of 2016

=====

Ram Shreshth Yadav son of late Talebar Yadav Resident of Village- Narsama,
Anchal, Riga, P.s Riga, District Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Director, Land Reforms, Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Sitamarhi.
4. The Block Development Officer, Riga District Sitamarhi.
5. The Circle Officer, Anchal Riga District Sitamarhi.
6. The Director , Panchayat Raj, Bihar, Patna.
7. The State Election Commission , Bihar Patna.

... .. Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Raja Ram Rai, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Kumar Alok- SC-8
For the State	:	Mr. Prem Ranjan Raj, AC to SC-7
For the SEC	:	Mr. Ravi Ranjan, Advocate
	:	Mr. Girish Pandey, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

4 16-04-2025 Heard Mr. Raja Ram Rai, learned counsel for the

petitioner, Mr. Ravi Ranjan, learned counsel appearing for the

State Election Commission and Mr. Prem Ranjan Raj, learned

AC to SC-7 for the State.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits
that in view of the statement made in paragraph-6 of the
counter-affidavit filed by respondents no. 3,4 and 5, no cause of
action now survives and hence the present writ application has
become infructuous.



3. The present writ application is, therefore,
disposed of as having become infructuous.

(Alok Kumar Sinha, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U			
---	--	--	--

