

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.8691 of 2023

-
1. Jyoti Devi w/o Sanjit Kumar Mishra, R/o Panjiarwa, P.O- Panjiarwa, P.S.- Sugauli, District - East Champaran, Bihar
 2. Vishnu Kumar Yadav @ Vishnu Yadav S/o Sadhaw Prasad Yadav Village- Panjiarwa, Post- Panjiarwa, Mansingha, District - East Champaran, Raghunathpur Bazar, Bihar-845431.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Forest and Environment, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Principal Secretary, Department of Industry, Govt. of Bihar, Patna, Bihar.
4. The Principal Secretary, Department of Mines and Geology, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Bihar State Pollution Control Board, Patna through its Member Secretary, Paryavarana Bhawan, Patliputra Industrial Area, Patna, Bihar.
6. The Chairman, The Bihar State Pollution Control Board, Paryavarana Bhawan, Patliputra Industrial Area, Patna, Bihar.
7. The Member Secretary, The Bihar State Pollution Control Board, Paryavarana Bhawan, Patliputra Industrial Area, Patna, Bihar.
8. The Mining Geology Officer, Motihari, East Champaran, Bihar.
9. The Mining and Geology Officer, Government of Bihar.
10. The Income Tax Officer, Motihari, East Champaran, Bihar.
11. The Labour Superintendent, Motihari, East Champaran, Bihar.
12. The District Magistrate, Motihari, East Champaran, Bihar.
13. M/s Sagar Shivam Eet Udyog At village- Panchbhirwa and Parsauna in Sighan Sarch, P.S- Sugauli, District- East Champaran, Bihar.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vishwajeet Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Sarvesh Kr. Singh-AAG-13

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

5 18-02-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned
counsel for the State, learned counsel for the Pollution Control



Board and the Mines Department

2. Pursuant to the order dated 28.01.2025, the State Authority has filed a counter affidavit stating therein that the grievance of the petitioner has already been redressed, and as such, the present writ application has become infructuous. Accordingly, the learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw this writ application.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. This writ application is dismissed as withdrawn as having become infructuous.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

