

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.30856 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-554 Year-2022 Thana- MUZAFFARPUR SADAR District-
Muzaffarpur

=====

Badal Kumar @ Dhanush Kashyap S/o Santosh Singh R/o Village- Sain Pati,
Ram Rai Tola, P.S.- Kanti, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjit Kumar Thakur, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Tarun Prasad Mandal, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

3 01-08-2025 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Sadar P.S. Case No. 554 of 2022, lodged on 19.09.2022 under
Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code. Later on Sections 395 &
412 of the IPC were also added, pending before the Court of 3rd
Additional Sessions Judge, Muzaffarpur.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
bail application of the petitioner was earlier rejected vide order
dated 12.07.2024, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 4075 of 2024. He
further submits that at the time of rejection of the bail
application, this Court directed the Trial Court to conclude the
trial within nine months from the date of the rejection order.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
period of nine months has already lapsed, but till date, the trial
has not been concluded. He further submits that keeping the



petitioner in custody is unnecessary and shall not serve any purpose.

5. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that, on the previous occasion, the present stage of the trial was called for, and from the said report, it transpires that out of 16 prosecution witnesses, only two have been examined till date, and summons have been issued to all the remaining witnesses.

6. From the earlier rejection order, it transpires to this Court that a plea was taken by the State that looted money and a country-made pistol were recovered from the possession of the petitioner. The petitioner is accused of bank dacoity, and there are four criminal cases pending against him. It further transpires to this Court from the said report that the trial of the case is likely to be concluded within six months.

7. In the present facts and circumstances of this case and the submissions made above, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Therefore, the bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J.)

Aman Kumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

