

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14344 of 2015

=====

Sunaina Devi Widow of Late Shesh Nath Raut Resident of Village Pathkauli,
Ward No. 3, P.O. Malkauli and P.S. Bagha District West Champaran, PIN
Code - 845101.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar and Ors
2. The Collector, West Champaran, Bettiah.
3. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Land Acquisition Office Collectorate,
Bettiah, West Champara
4. Anchal Adhikari, Anchal Office Bagha 2, West Champaran.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ashok Kumar Sinha No.-2
For the State	:	Mrs. Archana Meenakshee, G.P.6, Advocate
		Mr. Rohit Singh, A.C. to G.P.-6
		Mr. Prabhat Ranjan, A.C. to G.P. 6
		Mr. Harish Singh, A.C. to G.P. 6

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 14-07-2025 Noone appears on behalf of the petitioner though,

Mrs. Archana Meenakshee, learned G.P. 6 appearing on behalf

of the State is present.

2. The present application has been preferred for the

following relief:-

*That the present application for issuance
of direction to respondents to pay the
Compensation of the lands of the
petitioner along with interest of Khata
No.4 Plot No. 1 Area 2 Katha of Village
Narainapur, which was acquired by Bihar
Government for Construction of Bandh
(Dam) for protection of Bagha town*



Phase 1 from Kataw of Gandak River in 2012 and still not a single paisa paid to petitioner, and petitioner is running pillar to post.

3. A counter-affidavit of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 is on record and paragraph No. 8 which reads as follows:

8. That the land of petitioner acquired without giving any notice to petitioner and petitioner and his son, when compensation of his/her land was not paid by authority, made complaint to the District Land Acquisition Officer, Bettiah and Anchal Adhikari Bagha 2 in the month of February 212 (03.02.2012) when no action taken then petitioner met with District Land Acquisition Officer on 08/07/2012 and Land Acquisition Officer directed his Staff to look into the matter.

4. From the aforesaid facts, it is clear that the said land has not been taken-up by the respondents for the purpose of land acquisition. The counter-affidavit was filed after service of copy to the learned counsel for the petitioner in the year 2015 and there is no rebuttal.

5. However, since there is no apprehension, the writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U			
---	--	--	--

