

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1882 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-115 Year-2024 Thana- KAJRA District- Lakhisarai

=====

Ankit Kumar @ Chhotu Kumar @ Chhotu S/O Umesh Prasad R/O Village-
Sahmalpur, Post- Kajra, Distt.- Lakhisarai.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Anupam Kumar @ Motilal Rajak S/O Ram Charitra Rajak R/O Village-
Urain, P.S- Kajra, Distt.- Lakhisarai.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr.Santosh Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Sadanand Paswan, Spl. PP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Narendra Kumar, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. SONI SHRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

3 29-05-2025 Heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned
Special Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This is an appeal under Section 14(A)(2) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter in short referred to as the 'SC/ST Act') against the refusal of prayer for bail vide order dated 17.04.2025 passed by learned Addl. District and Sessions Judge-I-cum-Special Judge SC/ST Act-I, Lakhisarai in connection with Kajra P.S. Case No. 115 of 2024 registered under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 109, 308(5), 324(2), 352, 351(3) and 3(5) of B.N.S,2023 and Section 3(1) (r) (s), 3(2)(va) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of



Atrocities) Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the informant states that six accused persons had intercepted the informant and damaged his vehicle and thereafter the accused started calling him by caste name and *rangdari* was also demanded.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the appellant that the allegations in the F.I.R are not correct and as a matter of fact the vehicle of the informant had been dashed by one of the motorcycles which was being driven by another person and hence, the present case was lodged. It is further submitted that due to intervention of the well-wishers, the matter had been compromised between the parties and Annexure-P/2 to the present application is the compromise petition whereby it has been stated by the informant that the parties do not want to pursue the case. The appellant had *suo motu* surrendered on 27.03.2025 and is in custody since then.

5. Learned Spl. PP for the State opposed the prayer for bail, however, learned counsel for the informant has no objection with respect to grant of bail to the appellant.

6. Taking into consideration the entire facts and circumstances of the case, the above named appellant is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-



(Rupees TenThousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the District and Additional Sessions Judge-I-cum-Special Judge SC/ST Act, Lakhisarai in connection with Kajra P.S. Case No. 115 of 2024.

7. Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and this appeal is allowed.

(Soni Shrivastava, J)

Harsh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

