

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1778 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-115 Year-2024 Thana- KAJRA District- Lakhisarai

Suraj Kumar @ Anna S/O Bharat Mandal R/O Vill.- Sahmalpur, Post- Kajra,
P.s.- Kajra, Dist.- Lakhisarai

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The state of Bihar
2. Anupam Kumar @ Motilal Rajak S/O Ram Charitra Rajak R/O Vill.- Urain,
P.s.- Kajra, Dist.- Lakhisarai

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Santosh Kumar Pandey, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sadanand Paswan, SPP
For the Resp. No.2	:	Mr. Narendra Kumar, Adv.

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

- 3 26-06-2025 Heard learned counsel for the appellant and learned
Special Public Prosecutor for the State as also learned counsel
for the respondent no.2.

2. This is an appeal under Section 14(A)(2) of the
Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of
Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter in short referred to as the
'SC/ST Act') against the refusal of prayer for bail vide order
dated 17.04.2025 passed by learned Addl. District and Sessions
Judge-I-cum-Special Judge SC/ST Act-I, Lakhisarai in
connection with Kajra P.S. Case No. 115 of 2024 registered
under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 109, 308(5), 324(2), 352, 351(3)
and 3(5) of B.N.S,2023 and Section 3(i) (r) (s), 3(2)(va) of the



Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act.

3. As per prosecution case, the informant states that six accused persons had intercepted the informant and damaged his vehicle and thereafter the accused started calling him by caste name and *rangdari* was also demanded.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the appellant that the allegations in the F.I.R are not correct and as a matter of fact the vehicle of the informant had been dashed by one of the motorcycles which was being driven by another person and hence, the present case was lodged. It is further submitted that due to intervention of the well-wishers, the matter had been compromised between the parties and Annexure-P/2 to the present application is the compromise petition whereby it has been stated by the informant that the parties do not want to pursue the case. The appellant had *suo motu* surrendered on 28.03.2025 and is in custody since then. Learned counsel for the appellant further submits that the co-accused Ankit Kumar @ Chhotu Kumar @ Chhotu has already been granted regular bail vide order dated 29.05.2025 passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in Cr. Appeal (SJ) No. 1882 of 2025.

5. Learned Spl. PP for the State opposed the prayer for



bail, however, learned counsel for the informant has no objection with respect to grant of bail to the appellant.

6. Accordingly, the impugned order dated 17.04.2025 passed by learned Addl. District and Sessions Judge-I-cum-Special Judge SC/ST Act-I, Lakhisarai, is set aside.

7. Let the appellant be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/ (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the District and Additional Sessions Judge-I-cum-Special Judge SC/ST Act, Lakhisarai in connection with Kajra P.S. Case No. 115 of 2024.

8. The appeal is allowed.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

