

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.31304 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-384 Year-2024 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

Saiyad Haider Imam @ Haider Imam @ Guddu S/O Saiyad Unun Imam @ Yusuf Imam R/O Village- Chawar Takiya @ Chamar Takiya, P.S- Sasaram Town, Distt.- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rajani Kant Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ramchandra Sahni, APP

For the Informant : Dr.Kamal Deo Sharma, Advocate

Mr.Tushar Vaibhav, Advocate

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      21-05-2025                      Heard Mr. Rajani Kant Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Ramchandra Sahni, learned APP for the State and Dr.Kamal Deo Sharma, learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Sasaram Town P.S. Case No.384 of 2024, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 147, 149, 323, 341, 354B, 354A, 379, 385, 427, 448, 504 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the informant is living with her two daughters in the house of her brother-in-law. On 26.04.2024, at about 4:00 P.M. nine accused persons, including the petitioner enter the house of the informant,



breaking the door of the house. They started abusing and on objection being made by the informant, Danish pushed the daughter of the informant and torn her clothes. They were attempting to take her away. It is further alleged that Lali Imam enter her house with the country made pistol and threatened her of dire consequences, took away the jewelleries worth Rs.4,00,000/- (four lacs). When Jalaludin Ansari aged about 74 years came to rescue all the accused persons assaulted him. The learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that from perusal of the FIR it will transpire that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner, he is only the member of the mob. It has also been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that they are next door neighbor and a title suit is pending between them.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that there is no specific allegation against the petitioner in this case. Petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 02.02.2025

5. The application for bail is vehemently opposed by learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant



and submits that from perusal of the trial court’s record, it will transpire that the petitioner is having criminal antecedent of five cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Rohtas at Sasaram, in connection with Sasaram Town P.S. Case No.384 of 2024.

**(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)**

Saurav/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

